UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WEXLER,

Plaintiff,

v.

UCSF HEALTH, et al.,

Defendants.

| Case No. 25-cv-0377

Assigned to: Hon. Trina L. Thompson

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

(Submitted by Plaintiff Wexler)

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f), Civil Local Rule 16-9, and the Standing Order of Judge Trina L. Thompson, Plaintiff **Wexler**, appearing pro se, respectfully submits the following Case Management Statement:

1. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE

• This Court has **federal question jurisdiction** under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as Plaintiff's claims arise under federal law and the U.S. Constitution, including alleged violations of civil rights statutes and the Affordable Care Act.

- The Court also has **supplemental jurisdiction** over related state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- Service of process has been completed on all known parties. However, Plaintiff is currently unaware of the full identity of certain individuals involved in the alleged incident—specifically the X-ray technician—and seeks leave to identify and name these parties through discovery pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 10(a) and 21.

2. FACTS

- Plaintiff alleges that UCSF Health, along with certain individuals, engaged in non-consensual medical experimentation, torture, and civil rights violations during what was scheduled to be a routine dental cleaning.
- Plaintiff disputes Defendants' version of events and asserts that the procedures performed were unnecessary, painful, and conducted without proper or informed consent.
- Plaintiff seeks **monetary damages** and **injunctive relief** to prevent future occurrences.

3. LEGAL ISSUES

The primary legal issues include:

- Whether Defendants' actions violated Plaintiff's rights under the U.S. Constitution, the Affordable Care Act, and applicable federal civil rights statutes;
- Whether Plaintiff provided valid consent for the procedures performed;
- Whether the conduct constituted **torture** or **unlawful human experimentation**;
- Whether Defendants violated laws prohibiting unfair or deceptive business practices;
- Whether certain Defendants may assert **immunity** or other defenses under federal or state law;

• The appropriate scope of discovery and whether amendment of the complaint is warranted.

4. MOTIONS

- Defendants have filed a **Motion to Dismiss and Strike**, which remains pending.
- Plaintiff previously filed a **First Amended Complaint** prior to the recusal of Judge Charles R. Breyer.
- Plaintiff intends to file a **comprehensive opposition** to the pending motion and respectfully requests that Defendants coordinate future filings to avoid duplicative litigation.

5. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS

• Plaintiff anticipates seeking leave to **further amend the complaint**, contingent upon new facts obtained through discovery, especially regarding unidentified parties.

6. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION

• Plaintiff affirms that all relevant evidence has been preserved and requests that Defendants do the same, including preservation of any **video or audio recordings**, internal communications, and other documentation related to the incident.

7. DISCLOSURES

• The parties have **not yet exchanged initial disclosures** under Rule 26(a)(1).

• Plaintiff is ready and willing to participate in a prompt **Rule 26(f) conference** to set deadlines for disclosures and discovery.

8. DISCOVERY

Plaintiff anticipates discovery will be required on the following topics:

- The **training and credentials** of the dentist and staff involved;
- Existence of any **research studies or programs** related to pain tolerance or medical experimentation;
- Internal documentation and communications regarding the procedures performed;
- The **identities and roles** of all individuals present, particularly the X-ray technician;
- Any rationale or internal guidance for the deviation from a routine dental cleaning.

Plaintiff expects to seek both written discovery and depositions, and may issue third-party subpoenas if necessary.

9. CLASS ACTIONS

• This case is **not currently a class action**, but Plaintiff reserves the right to seek **class certification** should the factual record support it.

10. RELATED CASES

• Plaintiff is not aware of any **related cases** pending in this or any other jurisdiction.

11. RELIEF SOUGHT

- Plaintiff seeks monetary damages in the amount of \$9.3 million.
- Plaintiff also seeks **injunctive relief** prohibiting Defendants from engaging in further **non-consensual experimentation or abusive medical practices**.

12. SETTLEMENT AND ADR

- Plaintiff attempted to initiate **settlement discussions** with Defendants' counsel. However, defense counsel has been **uncooperative**, including a refusal to disclose the identities of certain individuals.
- Plaintiff is amenable to **mediation or other ADR processes**, contingent on the exchange of preliminary disclosures necessary for good-faith negotiations.

13. OTHER REFERENCES

• Plaintiff does not believe that this case is suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

14. NARROWING OF ISSUES

• Plaintiff is open to conferring with Defendants to **streamline the legal issues** and reduce redundant motions or filings.

15. SCHEDULING

• Plaintiff requests that a **trial date** be set following resolution of the pending Motion to Dismiss.

- Plaintiff estimates that trial will take 1 to 2 weeks and demands a jury trial.
- Plaintiff further requests that the Court encourage coordinated motion practice to avoid unnecessary, piecemeal litigation.

16. TRIAL

- Plaintiff estimates that trial will last **no more than two weeks**.
- Plaintiff requests a jury trial on all triable issues.

17. DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS

• Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-15, Plaintiff certifies that there are **no known non-party persons**, **firms**, **partnerships**, **corporations**, **or other entities** with a financial interest in the subject matter or parties to this action.

Sydney Australia

Date: October 12, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

Marshall A Wexler

Pro Se Plaintiff 4193 Flat Rock Drive Suite 200 room 439 Riverside CA 92505 Mwexleresq@gmail.com +1(347)799-4984